

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/526,003	02/25/2005	Helmut Seidlitz	HAFTOM POZAUS	9610
DAVIS BUIO	7590 10/20/2008 JLD & Daniels, P.L.L.C.	EXAMINER		
112 PLEASAI	NT STREET	DRODGE, JOSEPH W		
CONCORD, NH 03301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	10/526,003	SEIDLITZ ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joseph W. Drodge	1797					

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 15 September	er 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS I	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.
------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------	------------

- 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

- 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 - (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
 - The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 - Claim(s) allowed:
 - Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 9-11 and 13-17.
 - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see below.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

/Joseph W. Drodge/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797 Continuation of 13. Other: Proposed amending of claims 9 and 11 by substituting "liquid gas" instead of "supercritical or liquid carbon dioxide" would constitute New Issues since (1) this change is broadening pertaining to any normally gaseous substance instead of limited to carbon dioxide as originally claimed and since (2) "supercritical" and "liquid" are not necessarily synonymous, both on dioxide can be in liquid form based on temperature and/or pressure without being in supercritical form; also reciting of "separate" discharge openings for liquid to be treated and compressed liquid gas would be a New Issue. The claim formerly read on a plurality of openings each discharging both liquid/dispersion and compressed liquid gas.

Amending of claims 9 and 11 to recite a liquid gas broadly, is not supported by page 8 of the Specification which only recites carbon dioxide solvent and does not encompass any other liquid gas and would thus be New Matter.

The Arguments are also not persussive. It is argued that in Podhielniak 796, that carbon dioxide is not employed as a solvent and not involved in the extraction process. However, the claims do not require that carbon dioxide or other liquid gas a solvent, per se. Page 3, column 2, lines 54-63 explicitly state that the vapors and liquid introduced in counter-current are "employed" or otherwise involved in various extraction processes. The claims do not limit how the carbon dioxide is used.

It is also argued that the surface of the thin film is constantly renewed by mechanically acting on the liquid or dispersion, meaning use of rods, scrapers, wipers or rollers on such surface. It is submitted that "mechanically acting." has been interpreted more broadly. The instant specification at pages 5-7 infers that application of shearing or centrifugal forces qualify as the claimed "mechanically acting"; continued application of centrifugal force is disclosed by 796 at page 2, column 2, lines 14-18.

/Joseph Drodge/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797